

How to read my remote viewing text reports

I use a remote viewing method I have designed on my own called Elements Target Construction ETC.

The way to read and understand my full text report, is that I start by creating the section that is on the very bottom of the document, which has the title of ELEMENTS and lists things under the prefixes IE. SE. TE. QE. E. and Impressions. These are the first elements or "things" that I encounter from the target signal that comes from the target number. I list them as they come and without prejudice or judgement. These elements are investigated by tap which has the prefix T. and by initial probe which has the prefix P., and the additional information that is revealed then is written at those prefixes for that element.

Once I have done all of that under the ELEMENTS section, I then return back to the top start of the document and continue with a range of other probing methodologies and write now from the top and downward, until the time where I make a note of "End RV" and often also "End session". So what we have are two sections of the full text report: the section I made first, which is the oldest material, at the bottom under the heading ELEMENTS and down to the end, and then the newer section from the very top and down to where ELEMENTS starts.

A remote viewing session evolves as time goes along, the impressions I get first are usually fragmented bits and pieces, and the more I have worked on a session, I start to connect the pieces and form more of an understanding of what things are. This is why the ELEMENTS section at the end is more of an "outdated" section, and what I wrote last toward the "End RV" mark is the newest and most up-to-date. So we rely heavier on the latest material in the section from the top and down until "End RV" mark.

Elements, which are bits and pieces or fragments from a target site, will get new names as I continue to work on them. Do not put too much value on the "names" I use for elements, not even I take the "names" of elements seriously during a remote viewing session, because I know that the names I give for WHAT things are is not reliable and is likely to change into new names as I continue to understand more about what the elements are. So look not so much at nouns, also notice that the nouns or labels or names do change throughout one session report as I give them new names freely and without holding back, I do make sufficient note of new names though. In a remote viewing session report, we focus on the descriptors. For example if a report were to describe "a round spherical object", it might be called sun, orange, soccer ball, beach ball, or any number of names. Descriptors are more reliable in remote viewing, and names are not so reliable. It is even advised to not use any nouns or labels or names in a remote viewing session summary! "A person holding a round spherical object in their hands" could be perfectly accurate every time, but "A person holding an orange in their hands" could be wrong if it turned out to be a soccer ball. It can be hard to remote view that detailed to know exactly what things are to be called, and it requires some confidence and courage for a remote viewer to add nouns into a

report summary because it is taking a chance, you could be adding the right nouns and make the summary sound a lot better, or you could also be adding some wrong nouns which "ruins everything", meanwhile the basic down to earth description of the things could be accurate and just good enough.

If I write a summary based on the full session report, I of course write the summary before feedback, before finding out what the target identity was. I try to summarize everything I have encountered in the session and to make some sense into it and to create a neat and tidy summarized version of the often messy and often long full text report.